

Savings Proposal

Cabinet Member	Councillor Peter Bilson	Type:	Efficiency					
Assistant Director	Tony Ivko							
Savings Owner	David Kane							
Proposal: Review the method for apportioning the cost of the Housing Support service.			2014/15 £000	2015/16 £000	2016/17 £000	2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	Total
Review the method for apportioning the cost of the Housing Support service between the General Fund and the Housing Revenue Account. This recharge is required by statute to ensure that landlord services are funded from rents, and neither subsidise nor are subsidised from council tax. This change does not have any implications for service provision, and is reflected in the approved HRA budget.		Reduction in Revenue Expenditure (Show as Positive)	240	-	-	-	-	240
		Additional Income (Show as Positive)	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Net Saving	240	-	-	-	-	240
		Invest-to-save Funds Required						
		Revenue (Negative)	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Capital (Negative)	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Total Invest-to-save Funds Required	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Reduction in Staffing - Headcount	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Reduction in Staffing - FTE	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Staffing remaining - post savings FTE	N/A					
		Current Revenue Budget for Service Area (£000)	1,463					
		Revenue Budget for Service Area - post savings (£000)	1,223					
Communications Strategy Implications								
The communications strategy implications of this proposal are minimal.								
Corporate Landlord Implications								
There are no corporate landlord implications.								
Customer Implications								
There are no implications for customers.								
Economic Implications								
There are no economic implications.								
Environmental Implications								
There are no environmental implications.								
Equality Implications								
A stage one equalities analysis has been completed, and a full equalities analysis is not required.								
Financial Implications								
The financial implications are set out above.								
Health Implications								
There are no health implications.								
Legal Implications								
The requirement for a ring-fenced HRA is set out in legislation (the Local Government and Housing Act 1989), and ensures that the costs of providing council housing are matched to the income from the relevant properties. Local authorities have some, limited, discretion to apportion costs between the HRA and the General Fund where a service is provided to both housing tenants and the community generally, so long as that apportionment properly reflects the community's share of the benefits of the service.								
Policy Implications								
There are no policy implications.								
Procurement Implications								
There are no procurement implications.								
Staffing Implications								
There are no staffing implications.								