

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE**

**Claim No: E90BM139**

**QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION**


**BIRMINGHAM DISTRICT REGISTRY**

**B E T W E E N :**

**WOLVERHAMPTON CITY COUNCIL**

**- and -**

**PERSONS UNKNOWN**



---

**ORDER**

---

Before Mr Justice Stuart-Smith sitting at the High Court of Justice, Birmingham District Registry, Civil Justice Centre, The Priory Courts, 33 Bull Street, Birmingham, B4 6DS on 5<sup>th</sup> December 2019.

UPON hearing Counsel for the Claimant and upon there being no attendance by or on behalf of the Defendants.

AND UPON reading the Claimant's application dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2019, and the Witness Statement of Phillip Richards dated 27<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and the Witness Statement of Danielle Taylor dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2019.

AND UPON it appearing to the Court that there is a good reason to authorise service of the Claimant's application dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2019 (which seeks to vary the Injunction and Power of Arrest made on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2018) by an alternative method or at an alternative place.

**IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. Pursuant to CPR r. 6.27:

- (a) The Claimant has permission to effect service of the application dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2019 by the alternative methods in the Witness Statements of Phillip Richards dated 27<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and Danielle Taylor dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2019.
- (b) The steps already taken by the Claimant to bring the application dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2019 to the attention of the Defendants constitute good service. The said application is deemed to have been served at midnight on 28<sup>th</sup> November 2019.

2. Pursuant to CPR r. 6.27, any notice of future review or renewal hearings may be effected by alternative means equivalent to those set out in paragraph 1 above.